Gapless chiral spin liquid in a kagome Heisenberg model
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Motivated by recent experiments on the Heisenberg $S = 1/2$ quantum spin liquid candidate material kapellasite, we classify all possible chiral (time-reversal symmetry breaking) spin liquids with fermionic spinons on the kagome lattice. We obtain the phase diagram for the physically relevant extended Heisenberg model, comparing the energies of a wide range of microscopic variational wave functions. We propose that, at low temperature, kapellasite exhibits a gapless chiral spin liquid phase with spinon Fermi surfaces. This two-dimensional state inherits many properties of the nearby one-dimensional phase of decoupled antiferromagnetic spin chains, but also shows some remarkable differences. We discuss the spin structure factors and other physical properties.
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When low dimensionality, geometric frustration, and antiferromagnetism conspire in quantum magnets, completely novel and exotic physics can emerge at low temperature. In such quantum spin liquid (QSL) phases, the picture of classical magnetic moments breaks down, and fractionalized spinon quasiparticles with unusual statistics and long-range entanglement properties become relevant [1]. After intense theoretical research activity on quantum spin liquids in the late 1980’s and 1990’s due to their intimate relation with high-temperature superconductivity [2], interest in QSLs has recently regained momentum because of possible applications in quantum computing [3]. More strikingly, however, enormous experimental progress in synthesization and characterization of actual spin liquid candidate materials has catapulted the field to an unprecedented stage of maturity in this century (see Refs. [4–16] and references therein).

A highly interesting, recently synthesized QSL candidate material is the so-called kapellasite [14–17]: X-ray diffraction on powder samples of this strong Mott insulator indicates geometrically perfect, uncoupled two-dimensional kagome layers of spin $S = 1/2$ Cu ions, despite some on-site CuZn dilution. Muon spectroscopy shows the absence of frozen moments, inelastic neutron scattering exhibits a continuum of excitations (mimicking a spinon continuum), and the plateau in $1/\langle T \rangle$ of NMR measurements confirms a fluctuating behavior down to 20 mK. Experiments on kapellasite therefore provide quite strong evidence in favor of a genuine gapless QSL phase in this material.

In contrast to its polymorph herbertsmithite [12,13]—one of the best studied QSL candidate to date—kapellasite is known to exhibit nonplanar Néel phases, i.e., a spontaneous breaking of time-reversal symmetry (TRS) [20]. Whether such chiral symmetry breaking can carry over to the quantum regime in a spin liquid phase is one of the central questions we want to address in this Rapid Communication. Ideas for chiral spin liquids (CSLs) were presented some time ago [21–23], but despite the initial excitement and intense research efforts, the lack of realistic theoretical models and experimental realizations of such exotic phases finally led to a stagnation in activity. Recently, however, density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) computations on a farther-neighbor kagome antiferromagnet found evidence for a gapped CSL state [24].

In this Rapid Communication we present a phase diagram of the extended quantum Heisenberg model on the kagome lattice,

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_d \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j, \tag{1}$$

with ferromagnetic interactions on first and second neighbors ($J_1 \lesssim 0, J_2 \lesssim 0$), and antiferromagnetic interactions $J_d \geq 0$ across the diagonals of the hexagons [25]. Testing a wide range of microscopic spin liquid and correlated Néel wave functions, we find the variational phase diagram presented in Fig. 1. In the inset, the phase diagram for the corresponding classical spin model is displayed. The phase dubbed $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ has a planar order and a nine-site cell, while cuboc-1 and cuboc-2 have nonplanar spins in a 12-site cell [20]. For $J_d \gtrsim 0.5$, we find three types of QSL phases: a dimensionally reduced, quasi-one-dimensional (1D), and two TRS breaking phases. We elaborate on these results in the remainder of this Rapid Communication.

Néel ordered states. Due to the presence of ferromagnetic couplings which favor local transient creation of spin $\gtrsim 1/2$, it can be suspected that semiclassical Néel phases can survive in large parts of the phase diagram. The black symbols in Fig. 1 designate points where long-range ordered states have the lowest energy. We obtain these wave functions by the Huse-Elser construction [27,28],

$$|\text{Neel}\rangle = e^{-\sum_{j} J_0 S_j^z S_j^z} \prod_j |\mathbf{S}_j \rangle, \tag{2}$$

where
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i.e., nontrivial quantum fluctuations are introduced in product states of classical spin orders by first-, second-, and diagonal-neighbor Jastrow factors. This class of wave functions is known to give excellent variational estimates of the ground-state energy for ordered quantum spin systems [27,28]. Because of the presence of ferromagnetic interactions on first- and second-neighbor links, we also checked $U(1)$ liquids (see below) with spin-triplet fields on these links. However, these spin-rotation broken phases are never stabilized against the singlet liquids anywhere in our phase diagram [29]. Nevertheless, further studies of a possible competition of Néel states with nematic liquids could be interesting [30–33].

**QSL wave functions.** Since the putative spin liquid in kapellasite has gapless excitations, we choose to fractionalize spin into fermionic spinon operators $(f_\alpha) = (f_\alpha^+, f_\alpha)$ as $2\Sigma^0 = f_\alpha^+ \sigma^\alpha_0 f_\beta$, where $\sigma^\alpha_0$ are Pauli matrices. This spin representation introduces a gauge redundancy $\psi = (f_\alpha^+, f_\alpha) \mapsto g \psi$, where $g$ is any $SU(2)$ matrix. The state is then constructed via a quadratic spinon Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \sum_{ij} \xi_{ij} f^+_i a_j f^+_j a_i + \Delta_{ij} [f^+_i f^+_j f_i f_j] + \text{H.c.},$$

and the microscopic wave function is its Gutzwiller-projected ground state (QSL) $|\psi_{\text{QSL}}\rangle = \prod_j n_j (2 - n_j)|\psi_0\rangle$ [34–36]. Note that, for chiral spin liquids, the parameters $\xi_{ij}$ and $\Delta_{ij}$ are complex in general. At the level of the effective mean-field theory, the $SU(2)$ gauge redundancy is generically broken down to $U(1)$ or $Z_2$. The theoretical challenge is to exhaustively enumerate all possible liquid phases of the form (3) that follow certain symmetry requirements. For this we use the projective symmetry group (PSG) approach introduced by Wen [37], and subsequently applied to the kagome lattice in Refs. [38,39]. In this Rapid Communication, we significantly extend previous results by systematically classifying time-reversal symmetry broken fermionic QSL phases on the kagome lattice. We are interested in phases with unbroken translation and spin-rotation symmetries. The CPT theorem implies that breaking of time reversal is accompanied by breaking of a reflection symmetry. The kagome lattice has a $\pi/3$ rotation symmetry $R$, and reflection symmetries $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$, related by $R \sigma = \sigma'$. We therefore find three possible ways how TRS can break on this lattice: (a) $R$ is intact, and all reflection symmetries $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$ are broken; (b) $R$ and $\sigma$ are broken, and $\sigma'$ is intact; and (c) $R$ and $\sigma'$ are broken, and $\sigma$ is intact [40]. We label these three types of chiral symmetry breaking by $(\tau_\sigma, \tau_R) = (1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 1)$, respectively. The fourth case $(\tau_\sigma, \tau_R) = (0, 0)$ corresponds to “symmetric” spin liquids, i.e., unbroken TRS [38]. Classical spin states with these symmetries are (a) octahedral state, (b) cuboc-1, and (c) cuboc-2 [20].

The algebraic PSG is a projective representation of the lattice symmetries in the $SU(2)$ gauge group [37]. We find that this representation is not affected by the type of TRS breaking discussed above, and thus there remain 20 gauge inequivalent classes of algebraic PSGs [38] in the chiral case. However, at the level of mean fields $\{\xi_{ij}, \Delta_{ij}\}$ compatible with the symmetries, time reversal plays a crucial role and introduces strong constraints on their possible values. Here, we focus on phases where nonzero mean fields are allowed on at least two out of first, second, and diagonal links of the kagome lattice. Mean fields on all other links are set to zero. This choice is motivated by the spin model (1) we want to study. Given these restrictions, we find in total 25 distinct chiral mean-field phases (3) with a $Z_2$ gauge structure. We leave a detailed investigation of these $Z_2$ QSLs for future work. Instead, we focus on phases where the mean-field gauge group is $U(1)$. We find 15 such $U(1)$ QSL phases, listed in Table I. The five columns in this table specify the type of TRS breaking. Thus, phases No. 1 and No. 2 are symmetric liquids with unbroken TRS. Phases

### TABLE I. QSL phases with $U(1)$ gauge structure, including symmetric $(\tau_\sigma, \tau_R) = (0, 0)$ and chiral $(\tau_\sigma = 1 = \tau_R)$ liquids [42].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$\tau_\sigma$</th>
<th>$\tau_R$</th>
<th>$e_1$</th>
<th>$g_1$</th>
<th>$g_0$</th>
<th>$g_R$</th>
<th>$\beta_1$</th>
<th>$\beta_2$</th>
<th>$\beta_3$</th>
<th>$\beta_4$</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Large FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Dirac spectrum [41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triangular FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Large FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dirac spectrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\beta_2$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dirac spectrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Triangular FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
<td>$\beta_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Large FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kagome FS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td></td>
<td>FS/Dirac</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dirac spectrum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
<td>$\beta_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Large FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
<td>$\beta_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>CSL A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
<td>$\beta_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>CSL B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$i\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$i\sigma_2$</td>
<td>$\beta_1$</td>
<td>$\beta_2$</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fully gapped [74,75]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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must vanish by symmetry, ξa for bosonic spinons [43–46], we can show that there is always of sublattice site. Furthermore, for U(1) liquids, some robust properties of these phases [42], but more details used as variational parameters [40]. In the last column we give unconstrained. If allowed by symmetry, the relative hopping phases 

|J| 2 is a line of first-order transitions from the chiral cuboc-2 to cuboc-1 phases. In the quantum case, our results indicate the existence of an extended intermediate phase with essentially 1D character. This phase may give birth to two-dimensional spin liquids through second-order phase transitions, and the presence of quantum critical lines seems plausible.

**Chiral spin liquids.** Let us discuss the nature of the found 2D QSL states in more detail. We denote the phase for dominant J1 by CSL A, and the one for dominant J2 by CSL B. Both are gapless with spinon Fermi surfaces, and they break reflection and TRS, while all other model symmetries are intact. For definiteness, we give the optimal variational parameters at J = (|J1|, |J2|, Jd) = (0.4, 0.1, 0.5) for CSL A, and at J = (0.1, 0.3, 0.6) for CSL B. The hopping amplitudes for CSL A are ξ = (ξ1|, ξ2|, ξd|) ≃ (0.2, 2.7, 7.1); the complex hopping phases on first-neighbor and diagonal links are fixed to π/2 by symmetry (see No. 13 in Table I), and we find β2 ≃ π/5 for the optimal phase on the second-neighbor link. For CSL B, we find ξ ≃ (2.4, 0.2, 7.4). Here, the complex phases on first and diagonal links are free (see No. 14), and we find the optimal values β1 ≃ π/5 and βd ≃ −π/25. QSLs are conveniently characterized by gauge invariant fluxes piercing loops of lattice links [37,49,50]. Using the PSG in Table I, CSL A thus has a U(1) flux ≃2π/5 through triangles of second-neighbor links. On the other hand, CSL B exhibits a flux ≃2π/5 through small lattice triangles and no flux pierces the hexagons. Note that the optimal hopping phases (and hence the fluxes) on the second and first neighbors are simply exchanged in CSL A and CSL B [51].

**Quantum phase diagram.** Using large-scale Monte Carlo calculations, we determine the optimal parameters minimizing the energy of (1) in the correlated Néel (2) and in the chiral U(1) QSL wave functions (3). The resulting phase diagram is shown in Fig. 1. The energies of the best variational states on the lines J2 = 0 and Jd = 0.5 are given in Fig. 2. The black symbols in these figures represent long-range ordered phases [47]. As expected, when J1 and J2 are dominant, the system is a ferromagnet. When the strength of Jd increases, it exhibits a first-order transition to semiclassical Néel phases, either of nonplanar cuboc-2 type or with planar $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ order, depending on the relative strengths of J1 and J2. With even larger Jd, the ground state moves to true QSL phases (color symbols online). At Jd = 1, the model consists of decoupled antiferromagnetic (AF) chains. The AF chain is a well-known QSL with gapless Fermi points of deconfined spinons. In our approach, this phase is represented by the so-called “Gutzwiller-RVB” (resonating valence bond) wave function [48]—a projected chain of itinerant fermions—which is known to be an extremely good approximation to the true ground state. Surprisingly, as we decrease Jd while keeping J1 ≃ J2, the 1D phase is very robust and it remains the lowest state. This dimensional reduction can be understood if we picture the chains as AF ordered classical spins: Interchain couplings induced by nonzero J1 = J2 exactly cancel, and two-dimensional (2D) correlations do not lower the energy. For |J1| ≃ |J2| and |J1| ≲ |J2|/2, however, we find truly two-dimensional chiral spin liquid phases, No. 13 and No. 14 in Table I, respectively. In the classical model, J1 = J2 is a line of first-order transitions from the chiral cuboc-2 to cuboc-1 phases. In the quantum case, our results indicate the existence of an extended intermediate phase with essentially 1D character. This phase may give birth to two-dimensional spin liquids through second-order phase transitions, and the presence of quantum critical lines seems plausible.

**Static structure factor.** In Fig. 3, we display the static spin structure factor S(q) for the three QSL phases calculated on square clusters of N = 3(12)2 sites [40]. In the 1D phase for J1 ≃ J2, the spinon can only propagate along the diagonals of the hexagons, which form arrays of uncoupled chains in three directions $\hat{e}_1$, $\hat{e}_2$, and $\hat{e}_3$. The structure factor for these chains is logarithmically divergent in N at momenta connecting the Fermi points, determined by q · $\hat{e}_a = \pi/(2a)$ (nearest-neighbor distance a = 1). This explains the lines of intensity in the 1D phase [Fig. 3(b)]. When moving to the 2D CSL phases in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c), these general geometric features of S(q) remain. However, the scattering becomes more diffuse, and the passage to 2D is accompanied by a transfer of spectral weight. In CSL A, the intensity is concentrated at the six inner line crossings (M points), while in CSL B, it is dominantly at the outer crossings. This is a fading reminiscence of soft points in the classical partners of these phases [20].

**Physical properties.** The blue area in Fig. 1 depicts the confidence region of model parameters describing kapellasite according to recent experimental fits [17]. The most likely spin exchange couplings for this material, J ≃ (0.38, 0.13, 0.49) × 32 K, is located in the CSL A phase. This result is in excellent agreement with the neutron scattering data which clearly indicate the absence of Néel or nematic order and of their Goldstone modes. The averaged static spin structure factor of CSL A with its soft maxima at the M points [Fig. 3(a)] gives a good description of the powder signal. These experimental
properties are also consistent with a bosonic description of the underlying spinons [16]. However, the decisive strength of the present explanation is the existence of a continuum of gapless $S = 1$ excitations near the spinon Fermi surface of the theory. Constructing these (projected) excitations explicitly [41], we estimate a spinon bandwidth of $\sim 2.1$ meV. These features are lacking in a bosonic approach, and they are crucial in explaining the experimental data.

The found gapless CSLs are expected to exhibit longitudinal spin and heat transport [52]. Furthermore, spontaneous TRS breaking implies corresponding Hall currents, even in the absence of Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya terms or external magnetic fields. Classical simulations suggested that chiral symmetry breaking can survive up to small but finite temperature [53]. A mean-field calculation for our CSL phases predicts a linear temperature scaling of specific heat and conductivities, but a strong spinon interaction due to U(1) gauge fluctuation is likely to change this result [54]. Nevertheless, a nonzero Hall angle is robust and would be a strong experimental indication in favor of our theory.

Conclusion. In this Rapid Communication we studied the zero-temperature phase diagram of the quantum Heisenberg model (1) on the kagome lattice with ferromagnetic exchanges $J_1$ and $J_2$, and antiferromagnetic $J_d$ across the hexagons. It harbors not only semiclassical phases, but also genuine chiral spin liquids. On this basis, we propose a quantitative explanation for the scattering pattern measured in powder samples of kapellasite, and we predict the structure factor expected for single crystals. From a theoretical perspective, these CSLs appear through the frustration of arrays of AF spin chains. It is a rare occurrence of TRS breaking in a pure Heisenberg model without a higher-order spin exchange [55–57]. This opens interesting avenues for other approaches (1D bosonization [58], DMRG [59–64], dynamical mean-field theory [65], functional renormalization group [66], cluster methods [67,68], refined variational Monte Carlo [69–72], etc.) exploring this type of dimensional crossover from 1D to 2D quantum phases. In fact, compounds with a network of frustrated AF chains are ubiquitous and their neutron diffraction patterns remain terra incognita.
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We choose an energy scale such that Eq. (3) is well behaved even in the presence of ferromagnetic hopping on the diagonal link. These states break SU(2) spin-triplet hopping on first and second neighbors, and singlet because energies (and other physical properties) weakly depend on them. In some cases we find slightly different fluxes with almost degenerate energy. However, TRS breaking appears to be robust.

We also consider fermionic U(1) CSL states with complex spin-triplet hopping on first and second neighbors, and singlet hopping on the diagonal link. These states break SU(2) spin rotation down to U(1) around J_z. Using the PSG construction, we systematically check all such states, including those breaking the point group up to time reversal. These triplet (or “nematic” states) are almost degenerate in energy, except around $J \approx 0.3 (0.3, 0.3)$, where they are almost degenerate with the ones shown in the phase diagram. Further studies, e.g., of $Z_2$ triplet states, may be interesting in this parameter region.

We stress the importance of Gutzwiller projection, which can incorporate ferromagnetic correlations.

Some of the QSL states in Table I (albeit only for first neighbors) have been discussed previously [41, 74, 75]. We ignore states with flat bands at the Fermi energy, for which there is no unique ground state. Clearly, all states develop Fermi surfaces when $\xi_{d,0}$ becomes dominant.

The QSL states are calculated on square clusters of 3(24)2 sites. Such large systems are necessary especially when $|\xi_{d,0}| \gg |\xi_{d,1}|, |\xi_{d,2}|$ and finite-size effects become strong. Spinon boundary conditions are periodic in one, and antiperiodic in the other lattice direction. The ordered wave functions are mostly calculated on $N = 3(24)^2$ sites.
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The QSL states are calculated on square clusters of 3(24)2 sites. Such large systems are necessary especially when $|\xi_{d,0}| \gg |\xi_{d,1}|, |\xi_{d,2}|$ and finite-size effects become strong. Spinon boundary conditions are periodic in one, and antiperiodic in the other lattice direction. The ordered wave functions are mostly calculated on $N = 3(24)^2$ sites.
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