

## Variational perspective on quantum spin liquids in triangular-lattice Heisenberg models for spin 1/2 and spin 1

Samuel Bieri<sup>1,3</sup>

Collaborations with [1] R. V. Mishmash<sup>2</sup>, J. R. Garrison<sup>2</sup>, Cenke Xu<sup>2</sup>, and [2] M. Serbyn<sup>3</sup>, T. Senthil<sup>3</sup>, P. A. Lee<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LPTMC, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup>University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA; <sup>3</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA, USA



Massachusetts

Institute of

Abstract

We propose novel gapless quantum spin liquid (QSL) states that may explain the phenomenology of recently discovered experimental spin liquid candidates in spin S = 1/2 and S = 1 layered triangular lattice compounds. These states have a number of theoretically very interesting and appealing properties. We propose microscopic Heisenberg models with ring-exchange terms where these new phases can be realized as ground states. Using variational Monte Carlo calculations, we compare the energetics of a wide range of correlated spin wave functions. We find that our exotic spin states are indeed stabilized in some parameter range. For the organic compounds (S = 1/2), the parameters are realistic, and our theoretical scenario therefore presents a serious possibility.

## Spin 1/2

## The ring-exchange model $(P_{ij} = 2S_i \cdot S_j + 1/2)$

 $H = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + K \sum_{\langle i,j,k,l \rangle} P_{ijkl} + \text{h.c.}$ 

on the triangular lattice for  $J_2 = 0$  is known to exhibit 120° AFM order when  $K \leq 0.1$  [9]. For  $K \gtrsim 0.3$ , it supports a U(1) QSL,  $H_0 = \sum_{ij\sigma} f_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} f_{j\sigma}$ , a half-filled Fermi sea of spinons [10].

 $\Rightarrow$  What about intermediate values of K? What is the effect of nextneighbor  $J_2 > 0$ ?

Spin 1 Fractionalization into *three* spinons (2) leads to new and surprising possibilities. Pairing instabilities of the U(1) Fermi sea QSL are (5)• Singlet (equal flavor) pairing:  $\Delta^{xx} = \Delta^{yy} = \Delta^{zz}$ ; p + ip-wave (fully gapped) and f-wave (nodal)  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  QSLs. • Triplet:  $\Delta^{xy} = -\Delta^{yx}, \Delta^{za} = 0$ ; s- and d+id-wave. The gauge boson is "higgsed", but  $f_z$  spinon must remain unpaired in the presence of lattice symmetries: emergence of a free spinon Fermi surface! While the first option leads to conventional topological or nodal QSL states, the second represents a novel class:  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  QSLs in a Higgs phase and exhibiting an extended Fermi surface; this is impossible for S = 1/2.

 $\Rightarrow$  Is this exotic phase stable in a microscopic Heisenberg model?

Motivation

Recent experimental discoveries of the quantum spin liquid candidate  $Ba_3NiSb_2O_9$  [3], and the organic compounds  $\kappa$ -(BEDT- $TTF_{2}Cu_{2}(CN)_{3}$  [4] and  $EtMe_{3}Sb[Pd(dmit)_{2}]_{2}$  [5]. Key facts are • Layered 2d structure of isotropic triangular geometry

- No ordering transition observed down to 0.1 K and below
- Spin carried by Nickel is effective S = 1, and by Copper is S = 1/2
- AF Mott insulators:  $J \simeq 250$  K (organics),  $\Theta_{\rm CW} \simeq -80$  K (Ni)
- Finite spin susceptibility  $\chi_0$ , and linear  $C_V = \gamma T$  at low T
- Weak site disorder
- Powder samples only, no large crystals so far

 $\Rightarrow$  Quite robust experimental indications that two-dimensional gapless quantum spin liquid states are realized in these materials at low temperature.



We use a "parton construction" [6] with fermionic spinons to fractionalize the quantum spin operators. For spin 1/2 it is

We consider variationally all nearest-neighbor singlet and triplet pairing instabilities: s-,  $p_x + ip_y$ ,  $d_x + id_y$ , f-wave ( $\varphi = n\pi/3$ ; n = 0, 1, 2, 3), nodal d-wave  $(d_x^2 - d_y^2)$ , and deformations; finite-momentum pairing ("amperean" state [11]). Furthermore, we check all known planar orders  $(120^{\circ} \text{ AFM}, \text{ columnar}, \text{ spiral}).$ 



FIGURE 1: Rotation invariant nearest-neighbor parings  $\Delta_{ij}^{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}$ 



1<sup>st</sup> trial: Bilin-biquad. Heisenberg model with single-ion anisotropy

$$H_{KD} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \boldsymbol{S}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_j + K(\boldsymbol{S}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_j)^2 + D \sum_j S_{zj}^2, \qquad (6)$$

for D = 0 exhibits Néel (K < 1), and spin nematic (K > 1) order [12]. What happens at finite D?



FIGURE 4: Variational energies per site in model (6) at D = -0.4. AFM states are realized for K < 1, quadrupolar states for K > 1.

 $\Rightarrow$  Tsunetsugu-Arikawa three-sublattice ordered states [13] at D = 0are not destroyed, just deformed for  $D \neq 0$  (|D| < 1.5).



Construction of microscopic variational spin states: • Fermionic wave functions [7]

FIGURE 2: Variational phase diagram of model (5). A pure d+idpairing state w. quadratic bands touching is stabilized at  $K \simeq 0.13$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  We find a novel  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  QSL state with quadratic bands touching (QBT) at  $\mathbf{k} = 0$  as  $\Delta \to \infty$ , stabilized around  $K \simeq 0.13$ ,  $J_2 \simeq 0$ .



Next, we focus on the SU(3) symmetric point K = 1 and D = 0. For spin 1, the swap operator is  $P_{ij} = \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + (\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j)^2 - 1$ . A natural ring-exchange model to consider is

$$H_{\alpha} = \cos \alpha \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} P_{ij} + \sin \alpha \sum_{\langle i,j,k \rangle} P_{ijk} + \text{h.c.}, \qquad (7)$$

where  $\langle i, j, k \rangle$  are elementary triangles, and  $P_{ijk} = P_{ij}P_{jk}$ .



 $\Rightarrow$  The d+id  $f_z$ -Fermi surface QSL is the best variational state at  $\alpha \simeq \pi/4$ . For  $\alpha \gtrsim 0.3\pi$ , SU(3) is spontaneously broken to SU(2) and a Néel order is realized.

Conclusion

It is difficult to stabilize spin-1 QSLs in SU(2) Heisenberg models on the triangular lattice. Strong model deformations are necessary.  $\Rightarrow$  More experiments are necessary to challenge/refine the result on the Nickel compound [3]. Our results on the previously unexplored SU(3)model (7) may find interesting applications in systems of cold atoms.

 $|\psi\rangle = P_d |\psi_0(t_{ij}, \Delta_{ij}, \ldots)\rangle,$ 

(3)

where  $|\psi_0\rangle$  is the ground state of a quadratic spinon Hamiltonian  $H_0$ , and  $P_d = \prod_j n_j (n_j - 2)$  the Gutziller projector to the physical spin space;  $n = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{\dagger} f_{\alpha}$ .

• Huse-Elser [8] type construction

 $|\psi\rangle = \exp\{\sum_{ij} \mathcal{J}_{ij} S_i^z S_j^z + \mathcal{K}_{ij} (S_i^z S_j^z)^2\} \prod_k |\alpha_k\rangle.$ (4)

 $\Rightarrow$  Variational Monte Carlo allows evaluation of expectation values in theses highly correlated wave functions to an arbitrary precision on large clusters (here up to  $10^3$  sites).

FIGURE 3: Optimal  $\alpha = \arctan \Delta$ , for d+id and d-wave at  $J_2 = 0$ .

Conclusion

Described QBT state has several appealing properties, and it provides a new explanation for the physics of organic QSL candidate materials: • Lowest energy among wide range of states in a realistic spin model • No broken symmetries (T-rev, S-rot, lattice)

•  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  state, so controlled weak-coupling calculations are possible, i.e. no technical issues due to strong gauge fluctuations

• Quadratic band touching of fermionic spinons, constant DOS

• Marginal perturbations may open a small gap (seen in thermal conductivity measurements in  $\kappa$ -ET)

[1] R. Mishmash, J. Garrison, S. B., C. Xu, PRL **111**, 157203 (2013). [2] S. Bieri, M. Serbyn, T. Senthil, P. A. Lee, PRB 86, 224409 (2012). [3] J. G. Cheng, L. Balicas *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 197204 (2011). [4] Y. Shimizu, K. Kanoda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 107001 (2003). [5] T. Itou, R. Kato *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 104413 (2008). [6] X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B, **65**, 165113 (2002). [7] C. Gros, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 931 (1988); Ann. Phys. **189**, 53 (1989). [8] D. A. Huse and V. Elser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2531 (1988). [9] W. LiMing, Misguich, Sindzingre, Lhuillier, PRB 62, 6372 (2000). [10] O. I. Motrunich, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 045105 (2005). [11] S.-S. Lee, P. A. Lee, and T. Senthil, PRL **98**, 067006 (2007). [12] A. Läuchli, F. Mila, K. Penc, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 087205 (2006). [13] H. Tsunetsugu, M. Arikawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **75** 083701 (2006).